ECCLESIA LAW

Private Ecclesiastical Counsel
United States & International

FRAMED BY THE GOVERNMENT?

CRIMINAL DISCHARGE METHOD

SPC UNIVERSITY (YUSEF EL) & THE "GSA BOND"

Vs

A TWO LAYER JURISDICTIONAL SHIELD

1. Executive Summary

This report analyzes the methodology presented by **SPC University** (hosted by Yusef El), specifically regarding the "Discharging Criminal Cases" protocol. The central thesis of this method is that "all crimes are commercial" (citing 27 CFR 72.11) and that a criminal charge is merely a commercial lien/debt that can be discharged using **Government Services Administration (GSA) Bonds** and specific commercial affidavits.

Ecclesia Law classifies this methodology as **"Cargo Cult Legalism"** and a **High-Risk Strategy**. While it correctly identifies the commercial nature of the court system (Admiralty/Maritime), the operational tool—using federal contracting forms (Standard Forms 24, 25, etc.) to "bond out" of a state criminal case—is a misapplication of administrative law. This method often leads to the defendant being labeled a "Sovereign Citizen" and facing enhanced sentencing or psychiatric evaluation, as the court views these bonds as "fictitious instruments."

---

2. The Methodology: What It Claims to Do

The SPC University protocol claims to allow a defendant (or their loved one) to:

- **Discharge the "Charge":** Treat the criminal indictment not as an accusation of wrongdoing, but as a commercial "charge" (debt/lien) against the Strawman.
- **Release the Body:** secure release from incarceration by posting a "Bid Bond" and "Performance Bond" to cover the liability, rather than paying cash bail.
- **Settle the Case:** Close the matter administratively by balancing the court's books, rendering the trial unnecessary.

The underlying theory relies heavily on **"Clerk's Praxis,"** a historical text on Admiralty procedure, arguing that modern courts are operating under hidden maritime rules where the defendant is merely a vessel or "absconding debtor."

---

3. The Mechanism: How It Claims to Do It

A. The "GSA Bond" Suite

The core technical mechanism is the submission of federal government contracting forms to the Court Clerk, repurposed as bail bonds:

- **Standard Form 24 (Bid Bond):** To "bid" on the contract (the case).
- **Standard Form 25 (Performance Bond):** To guarantee the performance (appearance/payment).
- **Standard Form 25A (Payment Bond):** To ensure payment to the court.
- **Optional Forms 90 & 91:** Release of Lien on Real Property.

B. The Letter to the Clerk (The Directive)

The user sends a specific cover letter to the Court Clerk (not the judge), instructing them to:

1. Accept the bonds by "pre-arrangement with the Treasury Department."
2. Credit the case account "dollar for dollar."
3. Forward the instruments to the U.S. Treasury (referencing the Treasury Secretary as a Co-Payee).
4. Issue a "Settlement Statement" proving the case is closed.

C. The "Guilty Plea" Trap

The method suggests that the defendant can **"Plead Guilty to the Facts"** (admitting the act occurred) while simultaneously discharging the *liability* via the bond. The theory is: "I admit the facts (I did it), but I have paid the debt (the bond), so there is no longer a controversy."

D. Enforcement via Threat (FinCEN/OID)

If the clerk refuses the bonds, the protocol advises:

- Filing **Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs)** with FinCEN against the clerk/judge.
- Filing **1099-OID** or **1099-A** forms against the public officials, treating their refusal as a theft of public funds.

---

4. The Ecclesia Law Critique: Why It Is Fundamentally Flawed

A. The "GSA Form" Fallacy (Cargo Cult Law)

- **The Flaw:** GSA Standard Forms (SF-24, SF-25) are designed exclusively for **Federal Construction and Service Contracts** (e.g., building a post office). They are not criminal bail instruments.
- **The Reality:** When a defendant files an SF-24 in a state criminal court, the clerk does not see a valid bond; they see a *simulation of legal process*. It is legally nonsensical in that jurisdiction. It is akin to trying to pay for groceries with a Monopoly deed—it looks like property, but it functions in a different game.

B. The "27 CFR 72.11" Misinterpretation

- **The Flaw:** The guru cites **27 CFR 72.11** to prove "all crimes are commercial."
- **The Reality:** This regulation falls under the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. It defines "commercial crimes" *specifically regarding the seizure of property* involved in those specific offenses. It does not convert a murder, rape, or assault charge into a simple debt that can be paid off with a bond.

C. The "Plead Guilty" Suicide

- **The Flaw:** Advising a defendant to "Plead Guilty to the Facts" is catastrophic.
- **The Reality:** The Court of Record operates on statutes, not private commercial theory. If you plead guilty, the judge accepts the plea and moves to sentencing (prison). They will **ignore** the GSA bond because they view it as frivolous. The defendant goes to prison *faster* because they admitted guilt, believing the "paperwork" would save them.

D. The "Paper Terrorism" Risk

- **The Flaw:** Threatening clerks with FinCEN reports and 1099s.
- **The Reality:** This classifies the defendant as a "Paper Terrorist." Courts and the DOJ aggressively prosecute this behavior (e.g., *United States v. Tim DeMeyer*). It often results in the defendant being sent for a **psychiatric evaluation** (competency hearing) because the arguments sound delusional to the court.

---

5. If your being framed by the government in a similar way to the FCA's entrapment by design against Iain Clifford under Order 34/2023 and want to lawfully avoid prison whilst fighting the case from a safe heaven, you can do what Iain Clifford has done, evoke the Envoy Protocol to achieve a jurisdictional shield, prior to any sentencing move to a jurisdiction that does not recognise the alleged crime and fight the case from your safe heaven, win it and travel freely. This strategy should only be applied if you are genuinely innocent of the crime, it is not recommended if you are guilty of the alleged crime, in this situation you probably should pay the debt to society.

6. Conclusion & Comparison

Ecclesia Law Verdict: The SPC University method is a **mimetic trap**. It mimics the *look* of high-level finance (using government forms) without the *substance* (actual underwriting or clearing capacity). Attempting to "bond out" of a criminal cage using GSA construction bonds is a strategy that has historically resulted in extended incarceration and legal sanctions for "frivolous arguments."

True remedy lies in creating a **Jurisdictional Shield** (Envoy Protocol and physical jurisdiction) *before* the handcuffs go on.

ECCLESIA
LAW